

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,417	•	12/24/2001	Denis J. Stemmle	F-428	2989
919	7590	10/10/2003		EXAMINER	
	BOWES I		MILLER, WILLIAM L		
35 WATE P.O. BOX	RVIEW DE 3000	RIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-2	22		3677		
SHELTO	N, CT 064	84-8000	DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<	\mathcal{X}
	O

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, Office Action Summan.	09/683,417	STEMMLE, DENIS J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William L. Miller	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-11,14,15 and 17-34 is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-11,14,15,17-25 and 28-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26,27 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 December 2001</u> is/ar	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·				
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>11 Fe</u>	,)∐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	5 p.1.51.1.5 and 60 0.0.0. 33 12					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,417 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III, namely Fig. 4A and claims 7-10, 17, 25-27 and 34, in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. However, claims 7-10, 17, and 25 do not read on the elected species Group III as independent claim 7 recites at least two additional doors for respective access to at least two mail compartments in the mailbox. The species shown and described as Fig. 4A includes only one door for access to one mail compartment in the mailbox.

2. Consequently, claims 7-11, 14, 15, 17-25, and 28-33 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings filed 12-24-01 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the door (claims 26 and 34) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The proposed drawing corrections received on 02-11-2002 are approved by the examiner.

Claim Objections

,

Application/Control Number: 09/683,417 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

6. Claim 34 is objected to because of the following informalities: lines 4-5 - delete the phrase "the decontamination system and operatively connected to". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 26, 27, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimlich Jr. et al. (US#5814532).
- 9. Regarding claim 26, Zimlich discloses a decontamination container 2 comprising: a door 18 for allowing access to the interior 8 of the container; a decontamination system 10-12 operatively connected to a controller (Fig. 3); and a keyed start switch 54 used to send a decontamination start signal to the system.
- 10. Regarding claim 27, the controller includes a communications device 50 capable of providing status information to a user.
- 11. Regarding claims 26 and 27, although Zimlich fails to specifically disclose the container as a "mailbox for decontaminating mail," the applicant is reminded that mail is not being positively claimed and therefore represents the intended use of the invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior

Application/Control Number: 09/683,417 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the Zimlich container includes all the claimed mailbox structure and is capable of decontaminating mail.

- 12. Regarding claim 34, Zimlich discloses a decontaminating device including a decontamination chamber 2 comprising: a door 18 for allowing access to the interior 8 of the chamber; a decontamination system 10-12 operatively connected to a controller (Fig. 3); and a keyed start switch 54 used to send a decontamination start signal to the system.
- 13. Regarding claim 34, although Zimlich fails to specifically disclose the decontamination system "for decontaminating mail", the applicant is reminded that mail is not being positively claimed and therefore represents the intended use of the invention. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, the Zimlich decontamination system is capable of decontaminating mail.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,417

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Miller whose telephone number is 703 305 3978. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on 703 306 4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1113.

wlm 10/07/03 William L. Miller Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677